US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5

R5-8307-04	-
Facility name: GARY DEVELOPMENT LANDEILL	
Location: GARY LAKE COUNTY / INDIANA	
EPA Region: REGION I (CHICAGO)	
Person(s) in charge of the facility: STEVE GENTRY - ISBH	
Name of Reviewer: PAUL HESS  Date: 4-10-84  General description of the facility:	
(For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)	
This santary landfill has accepted a	
large quanty of industrial waste . However	,
The surface water route is the only route to	
score. This is because this borrow pit is	
Clay lined and has a leachate collection system	- پر
The water table has been depressed 30 sex	1
and site weste is not a threat to area wells	1.
Scores: $S_M = 8.4/(S_{gw} = 0)$ $S_{sw} = 14.55S_a = 0$	
S <sub>FE</sub> = ∅	
$S_{DC} = 16.67$	

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

	Surface Water Route Work Sheet										
	Rating Factor	Rating Factor Assigned Value (Circle One)				Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)		
I	Observed Release		0		(	45)		1	45	45	4.1
	If observed release If observed release					_	4. 2.				
2	Route Characteristic Facility Slope and Terrain		nin <b>g 0</b>	1 2	3		-	1	4.	3	. 4.2
	1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfal Distance to Neare Water		0 ce 0	1 2	_			1 2		3 6	
•	Physical State		0	1 2	. 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		3	
		·	Total Rou	ite Ch	aracte	ristics Sco	re			15	
3	Containment	~ <del>~</del> ~~~~~	. 0	. 1 2	3			1		3	4.3
4	Waste Characteristic Toxicity/Persisten Hazardous Waste Ouantity		o 0	3 6 1 2		2 15(18) 4 5 6 7	· (8)	1 1	18 8	18 8	4.4
			- 40-								
 		i	Total Was	ste Ch	aracte	eristics Sco	re ·		26	26	
5	Targets Surface Water Use Distance to a Sen Environment	sitiv <b>e</b>	0	1	2 2	3 3		3 2	620	9	4.5
	Population Served to Water Intake Downstream	/Distant	) 12 ) 24	16 30		8 10 20 35 40		1	·	40	
			То	tal Tai					8	55	
6	If line 1 is 45, m If line 1 is 0, mu			x [4		5x26x	& = 		9,360	64,350	
7	7 Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100 S sw = 14,55										

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

	Ground Water Route Work Sheet						
	Rating Factor		Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
1	Observed Release		<b>(i)</b> 45	1	0	45	3.1
			n a score of 45, proceed to line 4 n a score of 0, proceed to line 2.	_			
2	Route Characterist Depth to Aquifer		0 1 2 3	2	6	6	3.2
	Concern Net Precipitation Permeability of the Unsaturated Zon	he	0	. 1	1 2	3 3	
	Physical State	ne	0 1 2 3	1	3	3	
			Total Route Characteristics Score		12	15	
3	Containment		① 1 2 3	1	0	.3	3.3
4	Waste Characterist Toxicity/Persiste Hazardous Waste Quantity	ence	0 3 6 9 12 15 (18) 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (	1 1 1	18	18 8	3.4
			Total Waste Characteristics Score	:	26	26	
5	Targets Ground Water Use Distance to Near Well/Population Served	est	0 1 2 3 0 4 6 8 10 12 16 18 20 24 30 32 35 40	3 1	6 24	9 ′40	3.5
			Total Targets Score		30	49	
6		multiply nultiply	1 x 4 x 5 /2XOX26 2 x 3 x 4 x 5	x30=	0	57,330	
7	Divide line 6 by	y 57,330	and multiply by 100	Sgw=	0		

FIGURE 2
GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

		Air Route Work Sheet				•
	Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
1	Observed Release	0 45	1	0	45	5.1
	Date and Location:					
	Sampling Protocol:		<u> </u>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, 
	<del></del>	0. Enter on line 5.				
2	Waste Characteristics Reactivity and	0 1 2 3	1		3	5.2
	Incompatibility Toxicity Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	3 1		9 8	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		Total Waste Characteristics Score			20	
3	Targets Population Within 4-Mile Radius Distance to Sensitive	) 0 9 12 15 18 ) 21 24 27 30 0 1 2 3	1 2		30 <sub>.</sub>	5.3
	Environment Land Use	0 1 2 3	1		3	
		·				
		Total Targets Score			39	
4	Multiply 1 x 2 x 3	]			35,100	
5	Divide line 4 by 35,100	and multiply by 100	Sa=	0		

FIGURE 9
AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

Fire and Explosion Work Sheet											
Rating Factor	Assigned Value Multi- (Circle One) plier				Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)				
1 Containment	1					3		· 1	0	3	7.1
Waste Characteristics Direct Evidence Ignitability Reactivity Incompatibility Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 0 0 0	1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	4	5	6 7 8	1 1 1 1		3 3 3 3 8	7.2
	Total Was	ste C	Chai	ract	eri	stics	Score	-		20	
Targets Distance to Nearest Population Distance to Nearest Building Distance to Sensitive Environment Land Use Population Within 2-Mile Radius Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius	0 0 0 0	1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3	4	5 5 5		1 1 1 1		5 3 3 5 5	7.3
	То	tal T	arg	ets	Sc	ore				24	
4 Multiply 1 x 2 x 3	Multiply 1 x 2 x 3							1,440			
5 Divide line 4 by 1,440 and multiply by 100 S FE = O											

FIGURE 11 FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

		Direct Contact Work She	et .				
	Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi plier		Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
1	Observed Incident	. 0 45	1	0	45	8.1	
	If line 1 is 45, proceed If tine 1 is 0, proceed to	<del></del>					
2	Accessibility	0 1 2 3	1.	/	. 3	8.2	
3	Containment	0 (15)	. 1	15	15	8.3	
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity	0 1 2 3	5	15	15	8.4	
5	Targets Population Within a 1-Mile Radius	0 1 2 3 4 5	4	16	20	8.5	
	Distance to a Critical Habitat	(0)1 2 3	• 4	. <i>O</i>	12	·	
				1			
E)	If tine [1] is 45 multinty	Total Targets Score    X   4 x   5   14/54/54/1	· ·6 =	3,600	32		
6	If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply 2	1 × 4 × 5 / 7 × 5 × 3 × 4 × 5	<u> </u>	5,000	21,600		
7	7 Divide line 6 by 21,600 and multiply by 100 SDC = 16.67						

FIGURE 12
DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET

	4 *	
	S	S <sup>2</sup>
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)	0	٥
Surface Water Route Score (S <sub>SW</sub> )	14.55	211.70
Air Route Score (Sa)	0	0
$S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2$		211.70
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		14.55
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 = s_M =$		8.41

FIGURE 10 WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{M}}$ 

# DOCUMENTATION RECORDS FOR HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of these records is to provide a convenient way to prepare an auditable record of the data and documentation used to apply the Hazard Ranking System to a given facility. As briefly as possible summarize the information you used to assign the score for each factor (e.g., "Waste quantity = 4,230 drums plus 800 cubic yards of sludges"). The source of information should be provided for each entry and should be a bibliographic-type reference that will make the document used for a given data point easier to find. Include the location of the document and consider appending a copy of the relevant page(s) for ease in review.

FACILITY NA	ME: <u>GAA</u>	RY DEVELOP	MENT LANDE	11-1
LOCATION:	GARY	LAKE COUNT	-y /INDIAN	14

#### GROUND WATER ROUTE

#### 1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected (5 maximum): SITE MONITORING WELLS THAT

LIE OUT SIDE CLAY LINER OF LANDFILL DID SHOW

THE PRESENCE OF PRIDRITY POLLUTANTS. BUT, THEY ARE

NOT ATTRIBUTED TO THIS SITE BELAUSE SURROUNDING

GROUND WAVER IS FLOWING TO DEPRESSED WATER TABLE OF SITE.

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility: THIS OLD BORROW

PIT WAS DEWATERED AND SIDEWALLS LINED WITHQLAY.

THE BOTTOW OF PIT HAS 6 SFEET OF NATURAL CLAYAND

A LEACHATE COLLECTION SYSTEM. THE ON-SITE WATER

TROLE HAS BEEN DEPRESSED 30 FEET.

#### 2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

# Depth to Aquifer of Concern

Name/description of aquifers(s) of concern: THE SHALLOW GLACIAL SEDIMENT AQUIFER WITH A DEATH OF 30 TO 40 FEET,

THE SULARIAN AQUIFER (NOT AQUIFER OF CONCERN)

LIES UNDER 60 TO 70 FEET OF NATURAL CLAY.

Depth(s) from the ground surface to the highest seasonal level of the saturated zone [water table(s)] of the aquifer of concern: THE DEPTH TO GROWN WATER SURROUNDING THIS SITE IS ABOUT 5 FEET AND ABOUT LEVEL WITH ELEVATION OF THE. GRAND CALUMET RIVER. THE WATER TABLE ON-SITE IS SOME 30 FEET BELOW THIS LEVEL.

Depth from the ground surface to the lowest point of waste disposal/ storage: THE ON-SITE WATER TABLE AND THE LOWEST

POINT OF WASTE DISPOSAL ARE AT SAME ELEVATION. THEREFORE, DEPTH OF WASTE IS 30 FEET,

# Net Precipitation

Mean annual or seasonal precipitation (list months for seasonal):

32 NORGES (MATP) PER HRS MANUAL

Mean annual lake or seasonal evaporation (list months for seasonal):

28 INCHES (MALE) PER HAS MANUAL

Net precipitation (subtract the above figures): 4 INCHES

# Permeability of Unsaturated Zone

Soil type in unsaturated zone: FILLED WASTE AND COVER MATERIAL, (COVER MATERIAL IS IN TWO FORMS; ONE IS CLAY, THE OTHER 18 FLY A8H MIXED WITH LIME AND LIQUID LEAGHATE).

Permeability associated with soil type: 10 cm/ple AS PER ISBH

PERSONNEL.

### Physical State

Physical state of substances at time of disposal (or at present time for generated gases): SLUDGE AS PER ISBA RECORDS OF OW-81TE INDUSTRIAL WASTE DISPOSAL

#### 3 CONTAINMENT

## Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated: PANITARY

LANDFILL (ONLY CONTAIN MENT AT THIS SITE).

Method with highest score: LANDFILL HAS A NATURAL CLAY

BOTTOM OF ABOUT 65 FEET OF OLAY AND OPERATOR

HAS INSTALLED A LEARCHATE COLLECTION SYSTEM,

SIDE WALLS HAVE BEEN LINED WITH QLAY, AND

THE SOUTH AND EAST WALLS BARRIER DIKES.

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

# Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated: LEAD

ARSENIE

I-BHE (ISOMER OF LINDANE)

ASBESTOS FINES

COPPER

Compound with highest score: LEAD

toxicity = 3
PERSISTENCE = 3

#### Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum): 190,075 Cubic Yaras

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity: ISBH HAS

RECORDS OF IN OUSTRIAL WASTE DISPOSED AT THIS SITE.

THE ABOVE FIGURE REPRESENTS QUANTITY THAT 18

CONSIDERED TO BE HAZARDOUS INDUSTRIAL WASTE,

#### 5 TARGETS

## Ground Water Use

Use(s) of aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius of the facility:

DRINKING WAVER FOR SINGLE FRMILY RESIDENTS.

## Distance to Nearest Well

Location of nearest well drawing from aquifer of concern or occupied building not served by a public water supply: THERE ARE A FEW HOMES AT ZNO AVE, AND HOBART ST. THAT HAVE SHALOW WELLS AS PER EXE, INC. SURVAY OF GARY FOR PEOPLE DRINKING GROWND WATER, MARCH, 1984.

Distance to above well or building: THE DISTANCE TO THESE WELLS.

18 GREATER THAN 12 - MILE AND LESS THAN 1-MILES AS

PER HIGHLAND QUADRANGLE MAP, (USGS).

# Population Served by Ground Water Wells Within a 3-Mile Radius

Identified water-supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius and populations served by each:

448 PEOPLE (CITY OF GARY)
703 PEOPLE (BLACK DAKAREA)
380 PEOPLE (TRI-STATE AND CLINE AVE AREA)

SOURCE OF INFORMATION - HAS WORK SHEET FOR MIDGOTT AND LAKE SAND JO

Computation of land area irrigated by supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius, and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre): NONE - THERE IS NO FARM LAND WITHIN 3-MILES OF SITE AS PER HIGHLAND TOPO.

Total population served by ground water within a 3-mile radius: 1,531 people

## SURFACE WATER ROUTE

## 1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected in surface water at the facility or downhill from it (5 maximum): 3-BHC (130MER LINDANE)

DI-N. BUTYL PHTHMATE LEAD ARSENIC NIENEL

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility: THE WATER

SAMPLE (#E-7168 - ME-1662) TAKEN 1/24/84 FROM DRAINAGE

DITCH BETWEEN LANDFILL AND VALCAN MATERIAL, METAL DIV.

PLANT SHOWED BOTH HEAVY METALS AND DREANIC COMPOUNDS.

THE ORGANIC PIRODITY POLLUTANTS ARE ATTRIBUTED TO SITE.

HEAVY METALS ARE ATTRIB to TO VULCAN'S SURFACE PONDS.

FOR A PERIOD OF TIME WITHOUT AID OF A NADES PERMIT.

# Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain

Average slope of facility in percent: NA

Name/description of nearest downslope surface water: NA

Average slope of terrain between facility and above-cited surface water body in percent: NA

Is the facility located either totally or partially in surface water? NA

Is the facility completely surrounded by areas of higher elevation? NA

1-Year 24-Hour Rainfall in Inches NA

Distance to Nearest Downslope Surface Water NA

Physical State of Waste NA

3 CONTAINMENT

Concainment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated: ... NA

Method with highest score: ////

#### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

# Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated LEAR

ARSENIC

ASBESTOS FINES

NICHEL

U-BHO (ISMMER OF LINDANE)

Compound with highest score: LEAD

# Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum): 190,075 QUBIC YAROS AS PER ISBH SIVE RECORDS

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity: ISBH HAS

MAINTAINED REGORDS OF INDUSTRIAL WASTE DISPOSED

AT SITE MONG WITH NAMES OF GENERATORS.

5 TARGETS

#### Surface Water Use

Use(s) of surface water within 3 miles downstream of the hazardous substance: RECREATION AND INDUSTRIAL USE.

Is there tidal influence? No - NoT FOR INDIANA

# Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less: Nowe

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if I mile or less: SLIGHTLY
GREATER THAN 1/2 - MILE FOR 50-ACER WETLAND WEST
OF LANDFILL AND NORTH OF GRAND CALUMET RIVER, AS
PER HIGHLAND TODO MAP, (4868).

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species or national wildlife refuge, if I mile or less: NONE FOR NORTHERN INDIANA AS PER ISBU PERSONNEL,

## Population Served by Surface Water

Location(s) of water-supply intake(s) within 3 miles (free-flowing bodies) or 1 mile (static water bodies) downstream of the hazardous substance and population served by each intake:

\*\*NOWE WITHIN 3-MILES\*\*

AS PER City WATER DEPT. PERSONNEL.

Computation of land area irrigated by above-cited intake(s) and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre): THERE ARE NO FARMS WITHIN 3-MILE RADIUS OF SITE,

Total population served: ZERO

Name/description of nearest of above water bodies:  $\sqrt{A}$ 

Distance to above-cited intakes, measured in stream miles. LAKE MICHIGAN WATER INTAKES FOR CITIES IN NORTHERN INDIANA

ARE GREATER THAN 6-MILE FROM SIVE

#### AIR ROUTE

1 OBSERVED	RELEASE
------------	---------

Contaminants detected: NONE - 45 PER ISBH PERSONNEL

Date and location of detection of contaminants WA

Methods used to detect the contaminants: NA

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the site: NA

2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Reactivity and Incompatibility

Most reactive compound: NA

Most incompatible pair of compounds: WA

# Toxicity

·Most toxic compound: NA

## Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous waste: NA

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity: NA

\* \* \*

## 3 TARGETS

## Population Within 4-Mile Radius

Circle radius used, give population, and indicate how determined: *NA*0 to 4 mi

0 to 1 mi

0 to 1/2 mi

0 to 1/4 mi

## Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less: NA

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less: NA

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species, if I mile or less: NA

# Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if I mile or less: WA

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:  $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}$ 

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less: NA

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if I mile or less: NA

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less: A/A

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?

#### FIRE AND EXPLOSION

## 1 CONTAINMENT

Hazardous substances present: NONE - THERE ARE NO HAZARDOUS
SUBSTANES PRESENT AT THIS SITE THAT COULD CAUSE
AT THREAT OF FIRE OR EXPLOSION OTHER THAN PAPER.

Type of containment, if applicable: NA

## 2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

## Direct Evidence

Type of instrument and measurements: NA

# Ignitability

Compound used: NA

# Reactivity

Most reactive compound: NA

# Incompatibility

Most incompatible pair of compounds: IA

# Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility: NA

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity: NA

3 TARGETS

Distance to Nearest Population WA

Distance to Nearest Building

Distance to Sensitive Environment

Distance to wetlands: NA

Distance to critical habitat: NA

Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if 1 mile or less: N.A.

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less: NA

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less: WA

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less: 1/4

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less: NA

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?

Population Within 2-Mile Radius

Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius

#### DIRECT CONTACT

# 1 OBSERVED INCIDENT

Date, location, and pertinent details of incident: None AS PER

ISOH PERSONNEL AND SITE FILE

## 2 ACCESSIBILITY

Describe type of barrier(s): THERE ARE NATURAL AND MANMADE BARRIERS ON THREE(3) SIDES OF SITE, THE
ONLY SIDE OPEN TO TRESPASSERS IS ON THE WEST.
HOWENER, WORTMEN AREPRESENT 24 Hours A DAY.

#### 3 CONTAINMENT

Type of containment, if applicable: WASTE IS COVERED DAILY
WITH AT LEAST ONE (1) FOOT OF COVER MATERIAL AS
PER ISBH SITE INSPERTORS.

#### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

# Toxicity

Compounds evaluated: LEAD

ARSENICE

NICKEL

PURENE

ASBESTOS FINES

Compound with highest score:

LEAD

# 5 TARGETS

Population within one-mile radius

8,000 PEOPLE AS PER HIGHLAND TORO HOUSE COUNT

Distance to critical habitat (of endangered species) NOWE AS PER ISBH